

MEETING OF THE TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION

June 16, 2020

TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 2020

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: The record

[unintelligible] one person signed in who'd like to address the Commission, John Russo. If you'll go ahead and [unintelligible].

JOHN RUSSO: Hello. This is John Russo.

Shall I proceed?

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Yes, please.

JOHN RUSSO: Okay. Well, good morning,

Commissioners and thank you for the opportunity for me to speak
today. My name is John Russo. I'm the president of Sprouting
Star Children's Academy in Pflugerville, Texas. It's my
understanding that the Commission intends to take up the matter
of attendance standards for the Workforce Child Care Subsidy
Program. I'm respectfully and strongly encouraging the
Commissioners to consider first and foremost the health and
safety of the children, families and child care professional
staff and also the stability of the childcare system that serves
this program.

I respectfully encourage the Commissioners not to seek any relief for any growing fiscal strains at the expense of that health and safety. My statements today I'm making in the context of the escalating Covid-19 cases and hospitalizations. By every measure that I'm aware of, the situation actually seems to be worse than April, not better. In

March and April, many of your swift decisions really helped stabilize the child care system and I'm very thankful for the steps that you took in March and April to help us keep our center open. In May, it seems like maybe this is kind of changing and I'm concerned that in patients with the virus's schedule is pulling back many of the supports and pushing children and staff back into conditions that could be dangerous. I respectfully wanted to ask that that be considered and that we don't reverse the course at this time. You know, I don't want any conditions that might be responsible to encourage a Covid-19 emergency in a child care center or possibly undermine the public confidence in our system.

Rather, what we desperately need in the child care industry, is an intentional program that focuses on safety, fairness and funding. By safety, I mean taking leadership, defining what is actually sufficient. At the moment, you know, all of the emergency conditions have been removed as of Friday and Health and Human Services, at least at my level, are noticeably absent in this discussion. We need to define what safe conditions are and for fairness, we need to make sure we don't push the most vulnerable, our children and our child care workers, into unsafe conditions prematurely to try to offset other problems.

And lastly, with funding, the controls that are required are beyond the means of most centers. So

supplemental payments like the ones that you've already graciously provided and grants to open centers, I believe, are going to be needed to help keep the system from collapsing. I hope you will consider my statements. The pandemic doesn't seem to be over and I know here at our center, we're not acting like it is over and I just wanted to encourage you all to consider that as you make your decisions. Thank you again for taking the time to hear my concerns prior to your deliberations.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Thank you. This time, we do not have any other commenters who have registered and signed in [unintelligible] Good morning [unintelligible].

MR. STALINSKI: Good morning, Chairman

Daniel, Commissioner Alvarez, Commissioner Demerson and Mr.

Cerna. For the record, I'm Jason Stalinski, director of the

[unintelligible] Policy Planning and Prosecution Department. As

currently written, Commission Rule [unintelligible] claimant

withdraw their wage claim before and after the Commission's

written decision becomes final. The proposed rules allow a

Claimant to withdraw their wage claim at any point prior

[unintelligible] when a wage claim is withdrawn, it's as if the

wage claim never existed [unintelligible] any administrative

penalties and noted violations, which the ATC may have assessed.

If claimant would like for TWC to cease collection after a written decision is final, they may file a Satisfaction of Payment Declaration. A Satisfaction of Payment

Declaration differs from withdrawal and that TWC collections will cease collection action on wages. However, the employer will still be liable for any administrative penalties assessed on the claim and a noted violation would remain.

The proposed rules are submitted for public comment and no comments were received. As a result, there have been no changes between the proposed rule [unintelligible] and what is before you today.

Staff seeks Commission action regarding the adoption of this [unintelligible] 821 rule as included in your notebooks and published on the agency's website. If the rules are adopted, staff requests the ability to make minor non-substantive changes to the document in order to comply with publication requirements of the Texas Register and the Texas Secretary of State.

I am here this morning as a resource for any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: No, Chairman.

MALE: None here.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: We have a motion?

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: I move that we adopt the proposed rules relating to the withdrawal of page claims as previously published to the Texas Register, with permission for staff to make minor, non-substantive changes.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] thank

2 || you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STALINSKI: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: This is Item Nine, discussion and consideration of possible action regarding the use of pre-employment transition services funds to provide support goods and services for eligible students with [unintelligible].

MR. VADEN: Good morning, Commissioners. For the record, Jason Vaden, Vocational Rehabilitation Division. This year, the Rehabilitation Services Administration [unintelligible] policy interpretation that provides additional flexibility and providing support services and goods to support students that are participating in pre-employment transition services. The policy interpretation only pertains to students that are determined eligible for the VR program and who need those support goods and services to participate in preemployment transition activities. Some of these services that were not previously allowed include transportation, counseling and guidance, assessment services and rehabilitation technology [unintelligible] the availability of these additional services will allow us to better support students who need assistance in order to participate in pre-employment transition services and will count towards the state's 15 percent expenditure requirement.

[unintelligible].

This morning, staff seeks direction on updates to the Vocational Rehabilitation Service's Manual to conform with the Rehabilitation Services Administration policy interpretation, as set forth in your materials.

Commissioners, this concludes my remarks and I'm happy to answer questions if you have any.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Comments or questions?

MALE: No, Chairman.

MALE: [unintelligible].

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: I move that we approve the repeal of Chapter 857 and changes to Chapter 858 [unintelligible] to the Texas Register as discussed [unintelligible].

MALE: I so move as second

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: This is Agenda Item Ten, discussion and consideration and possible action regarding adoption of rules providing purposes of good and services for Vocational Rehabilitation Services and Vocational Relocation Services [unintelligible].

MR. VADEN: Again for the record, Jason Vaden, Vocational Rehabilitation Division. Commissioners, on February the 4th, you approved proposed amendments to TWC's Chapter 857 and 858 rules regarding requirements for purchases of goods and services for the Vocational Rehabilitation Program.

MY [unintelligible] background, these rules were transferred to TWC in 2016 with a transition of the Vocational Rehabilitation Program, and as such, they overlap certain existing TWC administrative rules that contain numerous references to programs that were not transferred to TWC. the rule proposal was posted in the Texas Register on February the 21st with a 30-day public comment period. No comments were received and as a result, no modifications were made to the final language before you today.

This morning, staff seeks direction on the repeal of Chapter 857 with final adoption of amendments to the Chapter 858, Vocational Rehabilitation Purchases goods and services rules as set forth in your materials.

That concludes my remarks and I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible].

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: I do have a comment,

Chairman. Jason, I apologize on the [unintelligible] Item

Number Ten and so this is my motion for Item Number Ten once

[unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Did you want--all right.

Let's take up Agenda Item Ten, yeah.

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Okay. So Agenda

Item Ten. So again, apologies to you, Jason. I move that we
approve the repeal of Chapter 857, the changes to Chapter 858,

1 which were proposed--proposed--correction, which were posted to the Texas Register as discussed [unintelligible]. 2 3 MALE: Second that. 4 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible]unanimous. 5 MALE: [Unintelligible] do we need to go 6 back and have a revised motion on Agenda Item Nine? 7 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] so that's 8 a majority vote, so will not reconsider the vote on Agenda Item 9 Nine. 10 MALE: Thank you. 11 COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: So Jason, may I 12 just--is this the use of [unintelligible]? 13 MR. BADEN: Yes, sir. 14 COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Okay. So I have--I 15 do have some comments [unintelligible] the Commission 16 appreciates RSA's policy [unintelligible] enables previous funds 17 to be used on certain goods and services that are necessary for 18 eligible students [unintelligible] to participate fully and 19 [unintelligible] in pre-ETS activities. However, the Commission 20 further encourages RSA to consider all available flexibility to 21 allow pre-apprenticeships to be included in pre-ETS work-based 22 [unintelligible] work readiness training and career exploration

Because the Commission believes that preapprenticeships can be developed and implemented that introduces

23

24

25

activities.

students to the registered apprenticeship as a pathway to careers in high skill, high wage occupations earlier in their secondary education.

In addition, pre-apprenticeships can provide students with work-readiness skills and behaviors that [unintelligible] further career choices regardless of whether the student proceeds to participate in registered apprenticeship [unintelligible].

Finally, pre-apprenticeship can serve as a valuable work-based learning environment for students to develop transferable skills that expand both their knowledge and future career options.

I'm having staff work on a letter for my signature encouraging RSA to consider expanding [unintelligible] and would invite my fellow commissioners to sign the letter with me to show our commitment to expanding opportunities with students with a disability [unintelligible].

MALE: [Unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible].

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Yes, Chairman. I move that we approve discussed changes to [unintelligible] to confirm the rehabilitation service administration clarification, providing additional flexibility for allowing [unintelligible] funds preserved for pre-ETS support goods and services for eligible students with disabilities.

MALE: Second.

2 | CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] second,

We're unanimous.

MR. VADEN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Okay. This number is to Agenda Item 11, discussion, consideration and possible action regarding [unintelligible].

MR. HOOKS: Morning, Commissioners, Mr.

Cerna. This is Michael Hook [unintelligible] the Texas Human

Resources code Title 40, Chapter 122057 requires the Texas

Workforce Commission to establish the purchasing for People with

Disabilities Advisory Committee, consisting of 13 members.

Advisory Committee must be composed of four representatives

[unintelligible] community rehab programs or CRPs to participate
in the PPD program [unintelligible] individuals with

disabilities, four individuals [unintelligible] participating in
the PPB program and the executive [unintelligible] a designee
be, we currently [unintelligible] Shawn Quigley, Randy Lacey,

Brooke Holfield expired in 2019. These members would like to
continue their commitment to the Advisory Committee for a second
term.

Four vacancies exist on the PPB Advisory

Committee. The recommended nominees are Michael Slavota, Monica

[unintelligible] Giovanni Washington and James Neenan. Staff

seeks direction on reappointing committee member Shawn Quigley,

Randy [unintelligible] PPD Advisory Committee for an additional four-year term and appointing nominees Michael Slavota, Monica [unintelligible] Giovanni Washington and James [unintelligible] Any questions?

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible]

MALE: [Unintelligible]

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Do we have any motion?

MALE: Yes, sir. So I'm gonna do my best,

Mr. Hooks. And so--because I lost you for a minute, I want to make sure [unintelligible] able to reference those individuals that are to be reappointed and those appointed. So I move that we reappoint [unintelligible] Randy Lacey [unintelligible] and appoint Michael [unintelligible] Washington and James [unintelligible] to serve on the PPWD Advisory Committee.

MALE: I will second that.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] thank you. Agenda Item 12, discussion, consideration, possible action regarding [unintelligible].

MR. HOOKS: This is Michael Hooks again.

The Texas Workforce Commission administers the purchasing for People with Disabilities program. TWC's responsibilities include determining the eligibility requirements for participation in the program by community rehab programs [unintelligible] requirements when a community rehab program is certified or recertified [unintelligible] CRPs must reapply

every three years prior to the expiration [unintelligible] TWC 2 reviews the submitted application, all required documentation 3 prior to forwarding recommendations for certification to 4 [unintelligible] applications for initial certification 5 [unintelligible] recertification, all CRPs meet the requirements 6 for certification. I can tell you TWC's PPD rule, Chapter 806. 7 Staff seeks direction on approving and 8 certifying and recertifying the [unintelligible] to participate 9 in the PPD program. Thank you [unintelligible]. 10 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Any comments 11 [unintelligible]. 12 COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: I move that we 13 approve the certification and recertification of the Community 14 Rehabilitation Programs as discussed by staff [unintelligible]. 15 [Unintelligible]. MALE: 16 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] under 17 the Purchase for People with Disabilities program. 18 MR. HOOKS: I'm sorry. Did you call Item 19 13? 20 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I did. 21 MR. HOOKS: [Unintelligible] we're getting 22 a little breakup on the microphone. The PPD program with the 23 assistance of Texas Comptroller Public Accounts reviews Austin 24 houses [unintelligible] pricing submitted by the central non-

1

25

profit request on behalf of CRP's disability program.

```
1
   purchase for products and services for people with disabilities
   requires comptroller to provide the PPD program with the
3
   necessary information and resources to comply with this
4
   requirement to determine fair price [unintelligible] currently,
5
   the staff seeks decision on approving 29 new products presented
   by four CRPs and 53 product price revisions submitted by two
6
7
   CRPs. That concludes my remarks.
8
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Comments or questions?
                                No comments [unintelligible].
                         MALE:
10
                         COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: I move to accept the
11
   new products and price revisions except for Item Number 34 as
12
   discussed by staff. And I wasn't sure if [unintelligible].
13
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Michael?
                         MR. HOOKS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry, I had
15
   break-up.
16
                         COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Michael, did you
17
   reference an exclusion of one of the items?
18
                         MR. HOOKS: No, sir, I did not.
19
                                Commissioner Alvarez, do you
20
   [unintelligible] motion?
21
                         COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Yes, I do. We had
22
   discussions with staff and at this point, we were
23
   [unintelligible] in the price reduction [unintelligible]
24
   products and price provisions [unintelligible].
```

2

9

14

25

Second.

MALE:

1 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] noted 2 seconded the motion. This is Item 14, discussion and 3 [unintelligible] possible action regarding approval of services 4 offered by Community Rehabilitation Programs under the 5 [unintelligible] for People with Disabilities program 6 [unintelligible]. 7 MR. HOOKS: Again, Michael Hooks. 8 Chapter 806, PPD, purchase from [unintelligible] products and 9 services for people with disabilities requires the TWC, with the 10 assistance of a Texas Comptroller, determine the fair market 11 price of all services provided by individuals with disabilities 12 and offer for sale in the state agencies and political 13 subdivisions by community rehab programs participating with PPD 14 program. 15 Currently, CRPs have presented 32 16 temporary, 33 new, 437 renewal and 14 transfer service contracts 17 for approval [unintelligible] approve the service contracts 18 offered by the certified CRPs. That concludes my remarks. 19 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Any comments or 20 questions? 21 COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Chairman, the only 22 comment I have is [unintelligible] or at least close to that, so

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Are there any motions?

25

23

24

thank you [unintelligible].

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: I move that we approve service contracts offered by CRPs under the Purchasing [unintelligible] People with Disabilities [unintelligible] by staff.

MALE: I second.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] consideration and possible action regarding Purchasing for People with Disabilities program temporary suspension [unintelligible].

MALE: Mr. Hooks. We have received additional information regarding this item and request that we continue this item to a future date.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Comments or questions?

MALE: I'm okay with that [unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: This is Agenda Item 16

[unintelligible] initiative, including those [unintelligible].

MS. ARBOR: Good morning, commissioners,
Mr. Cerna. For the record, Courtney Arbor, Workforce Division.
Commissioners, in April, you voted for redirect more than 12
claimants [unintelligible] funding and award grants to Workforce
wards for Covid response purposes. Several boards applied for
and have been approved for a portion of the funding and at the
time of that last commission meeting on June 2, a balance of
approximately 5.8 million remain.

You ask that we insure that

[unintelligible] that were in process at the time be funded but that we bring a state-level plan to you to increase the skills of Texans throughout the state using the remainder or a portion of the remainder of that funding.

Staff have researched the options available and in order to use the remaining funds most effectively to address the increased state-wide demand for skills enhancements for our workforce, TWC approved [unintelligible] massive open online forces provider at the state level to provide up-scaling opportunities to the dislocated workers in Texas. These platforms provide online courses spanning a number of occupational skills in addition to various professional development topics.

The second issue for you today is therelates to WIOA and the term substantial layoffs. WIOA sets the
criteria for determining eligibility for dislocated workers who
can receive WIOA-funded [unintelligible] including in this
definition are individuals who have been terminated or laid off
from employment as a result of permanent or substantial layoff.
And as you know, social distancing requirements and closure of
non-essential businesses for several weeks due to Covid-19
pandemic resulted in a loss of employment for millions of Texans
and made it more difficult for those who were unemployed before
the pandemic to reenter the workforce now.

The term substantial layoff, though part of the WIOA definition, is not fully defined by existing legislation or regulation. Establishing a definition of substantial layoff that includes all individuals receiving unemployment benefits during the Covid-19 pandemic would ensure that all UI claimants, all UI recipients can receive WIOA [unintelligible] services including the statewide initiative that we are talking about today.

2.4

So we have two decisions points for you, commissioners. One, staff seeks direction on the approval of up to \$5.8 million in WIOA statewide funds, reserved under WIOA [unintelligible] up to two vendors for a statewide skills—excuse me, skills enhancement initiative to provide rapid skilling to dislocated workers in Texas.

And second, we seek direction on defining substantial layoff to include all individuals receiving UI benefits during the pandemic, the Covid-19 pandemic.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Thank you. Are there any comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Chairman

[unintelligible] Courtney, thank you for the work and thank you coming back with the specifics on how this funding needs to be used. So I have a question. Well, eligible UI claim is enrolled [unintelligible] considered to be and committed to improve training in the process of UI?

MS. ARBOR: Commissioner, the local workforce boards continue to provide training for individual training accounts and through WIOA, also have flexibility to buy courses with local training providers which meet the definition of training and commission-approved training. Those enrolled in this skills enhancement project in almost all cases won't be considered to be enrolled in commission-approved training. But there—if enrolled in WIOA or skills development or adult education and literacy, that would apply.

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Thank you, Courtney. I bring that up because [unintelligible] I'd also like--two comments that I'd like to add. Also I would like the focus to remain short-term training [unintelligible] recognized in some of the [unintelligible] in certifications rather than four-year degrees. Rather, according to the UI claimant dashboard, which I have here in front of me on our website, top UI claims by industry are full-service restaurants a 24,000 people followed by [unintelligible] services at 19,000, limited services restaurants at 15,500, support activities for oil and gas operations at 14,000 and hotel and motel at 6,500.

In-fact, I have seen news articles indicating major layoffs at hotels across the state. This was just from our recent clips. Commissioners [unintelligible] focus on [unintelligible] claimants for [unintelligible] that we

recognize on our own website. Industries--and we'd like this direction to be provided to [unintelligible].

MALE: Sir, I--and Commissioner Alvarez,

I'd like to, first of all, applaud the staff on [unintelligible]

innovative and providing opportunities for us all. I think it's

important as [unintelligible] needs so that we make sure that

the training that's taking place [unintelligible] thank you guys

for that direction and applaud the staff for bringing back this

opportunity.

MALE: And I, as well, so thank you for the comments, Commissioner. I appreciate the fact that we do state your, you know, industry-recognized credentials. And these industry-recognized credentials allows for anywhere, not just in Texas [unintelligible] want them to, right, but they do have the industry-recognized credentials as [unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Additional comments?

Courtney, well done. Very good proposal, very well thought out

[unintelligible] are there any motions?

MALE: I move that we approve up to 5.8 million in WIOA statewide funds reserved under WIOA 133A to contract with up to two vendors for a statewide up-scaling of dislocated worker initiative to provide rapid up-scaling to dislocated workers in Texas focusing on the top UI claim filing industries [unintelligible] I further move that we define

1 substantial layoff to include all individuals receiving UI 2 benefits during the Covid-19 pandemic. 3 I second. MATIE: 4 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible]. 5 MS. ARBOR: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Let's move to Agenda Item 7 17, discussion, consideration of possible action regarding 8 adoption of rules relating to child care evaluations, medicine 9 reimbursement rates [unintelligible]. 10 MS. R. MILLER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, 11 Commissioners. Regan Miller with the Child Care and Early 12 Learning division. The proposed rules for Chapter 809 were 13 published in the Texas Register on February 21. No public 14 comments were received on the proposed rules and no changes have 15 been made to the final rule. These rules include technical 16 change based on legislative revisions to child care regulation 17 corrective action categories, as well as amendments to allow for 18 a commission-established minimum threshold for childcare 19 reimbursement rates. 20 Staff seeks direction on adoption of these 21 final rules and I'm happy to answer any questions.

24

23

22

25

MALE: No comments, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Comments or questions?

1 MALE: None here [unintelligible] related 2 to childcare reimbursement rates and corrected actions as 3 discussed. 4 I second. MALE: 5 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] second 6 and we're unanimous. Thank you. 7 MS. R. MILLER: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Agenda Item 18, 9 discussion, consideration of possible action regarding adoption 10 of rules relating to age discrimination [unintelligible]. 11 MR. STONEY: Good morning Chairman and 12 Commissioners. For the record, Brian Stoney, Director of Civil 13 Rights Division [unintelligible] the 86th Texas Legislature 14 repealed Section 21.054, subsection B of the Texas Labor Code 15 [unintelligible] recommended age discrimination within the job 16 training program for individuals at least 40 years of age but 17 younger than 56 years. On April 3, 2020, the Commission 18 proposed amendments to Section 819.12, subsection D, to align 19 with the current statutory language in Texas Labor Code Section 20 21.054. No comments were received on the proposed rules. 21 Today, we're seeking direction on the 22 adoption of the final rules amending Chapter 819 to align with

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Comments or questions?

the language in Texas Labor Code. This concludes my remarks.

I'm available to answer any questions.

23

24

MALE: Not here, Chairman.

MALE: [Unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is there a motion?

MALE: Thank you, Brian, for the

presentation. I move that we adopt the proposed changes to Section 819 (inaudible) Texas register with permission for staff to make minor, non-substantive changes.

MALE: Second the motion.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] second the motion [unintelligible] this is Agenda Item 19, discussion [unintelligible].

ADAM: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,

Commission. My name is Adam [unintelligible] I am the Director
of Operation [unintelligible] Texas Workforce Commission.

Earlier this year, you approved a set of renegotiated targets
for adult education grantees. That was part of a new process we
put in place this year to allow the grantees to kind of take a
mid-year look at what the demand is for different types of
services and—and inform them [unintelligible] to us. We went
through the process and unfortunately, when I provided this
information originally on March 3, I left one off and that is
Amarillo college. They did go through the process on time.

Program staff and myself reviewed and agreed with their proposal
and this should have been in the material in March, so I'm
seeking your approval now to correct this oversight and allow it

1 to [unintelligible] renegotiated targets. And I'm happy to 2 answer any questions you may have about this. 3 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Comments or questions? 4 MALE: No comments, Chairman 5 [unintelligible]. 6 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is there a motion? 7 COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: I move that we 8 approve Program Year 2019 [unintelligible] participants serve 9 [unintelligible] Amarillo College as discussed. 10 MALE: Second. 11 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] second 12 and we're unanimous. Thank you. 13 ADAM: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Don't have anything to 15 present on Agenda Item 20, so we're moving to Agenda Item 21 16 [unintelligible] discussion, consideration [unintelligible] 17 target disaster relief efforts, public health [unintelligible] 18 going to start with [unintelligible]. 19 MR. COLE: Good morning, Chairman Daniel, 20 Commissioner Demerson, Commissioner Alvarez, Mr. Cerna. 21 record, Clay Cole, Unemployment Insurance Division director. 22 Covid-19 pandemic has caused both economic and personal stress 23 on the employers of Texas and their workforce. In response, the 24 TWC has acted to soften the blow by providing unemployment

benefits and services to millions of Texans in need. As Texas

begins to recover and Governor Abbot works to reopen Texas economy, so too must [unintelligible] this reopening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Accordingly, we propose operationalizing the following three items. Though we are not seeking a vote, we are just seeing the commissioners' support as we continue to move forward. The first item is we recommend to reinstate work search requirements effective July 6, 2020, which customers will notice the first time when requesting payment the week of July 19. Under the authority of the executive director, UI division is preparing [unintelligible] requirements starting July 6, 2020. In order to provide all affected persons ample notice, a comprehensive campaign that messages claimants and employers will begin immediately and will use all [unintelligible] will also receive notification of work search requirements with their payment request immediately following July 5, 2020 and to be effective with their next payment request following that notification. As I indicated, that would be the week beginning July the 19^{th} .

Item Two is being recommended as setting the work search requirement to a maximum of three under Commission Rule 815.28(c). The executive director recommends resetting the maximum number of work search requirements to three for all areas of the state. Now, counties that have their work search requirement to less than three may keep that number intact as the economic reopening progresses, local workforce

boards will evaluate their specific economic conditions and will advise the executive director [unintelligible] the respective requirement.

And Item Three are the guidelines
[unintelligible] Covid-19. UI will continue to follow state and
federal law, rules, precedents, those claimants directly
impacted by Covid-19. However, we acknowledge the reality the
Covid-19 pandemic presents unique scenarios that must be
reviewed on a case-by-case basis. In performing this review,
six exceptions will be considered--and this was part of our
press release that we issued earlier this year [unintelligible]
are at high risk, people 65 years or older and-or people with
medical issues like heart disease, diabetes, cancer or weakened
immune system are at a higher risk for getting very sick from
Covid-19, as described by Texas Department of Health Services.

Household member at high risk, people 65
years or older are at a high risk for getting very sick
[unintelligible] as described by the Texas Department of Health
Services. Diagnosed with Covid-19. The individual has tested
positive for Covid-19 by a source authorized by the State of
Texas and is not recovered. Family member with Covid. Anybody
in the household has tested positive for Covid-19
[unintelligible] authorized [unintelligible] and has not
recovered and 14 days has not yet passed [unintelligible]
currently 14-day quarantine due to close contact exposure with

Covid-19. And in child care [unintelligible] available or alternatives are available. By supporting these three items, the UI division believes that the Commission can assist the Governor and reopen the Texas economy while [unintelligible] benefits to those Texans with a continuing need for them. This does not require a vote and these are operational items, though we're seeking to [unintelligible] move forward with reopening the economy. And this concludes my update and I'll be happy to answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] make sure it was absolutely, clearly presented to you all, the three items that we're gonna be working on.

First of all, as he indicated, staff is going to be moving forward with reinstating work search unless the Commission asks us not to. We will begin our outreach campaign today and extensive outreach to media, social media [unintelligible] with the individuals that are receiving benefits from us so that they know that we're going to be reinstating work search [unintelligible] July 6 and then the first time that anybody actually has to report anything [unintelligible] their continued benefits is on July the 19th.

So a little bit more than two weeks of peer notification and then we turn it on to the system. Individuals can begin their work search activities. We will include clear

definitions of what we mean by work search activities beginning on July the $6^{\rm th}$ and [unintelligible].

Also we reached out to all the boards and we will be setting a statewide job search to a maximum of three [unintelligible] that have something less than three can maintain that less than three but because the economy is just starting back up, we're about to approve the start with a max [unintelligible] areas back when the economy was good, it had a maximum of about 10, 12 job searches which really may not be practical right now.

[unintelligible] was also very important [unintelligible] clay did point them out but again, bad connection is—in order to assist us, we'll look at each situation [unintelligible] case by case basis. However, we'll provide clear guidelines to our staff that there are six situations that is absolutely [unintelligible] individual himself is either at high risk because of age or medical condition to Covid—19 or as a household member [unintelligible] high risk of age or medical condition [unintelligible] the individual has been diagnosed with Covid—19 or is in a household with someone who's been diagnosed with Covid—19 [unintelligible] because the individual has been quarantined—14—day quarantine period.

And then finally child care. While we know we're opening child care back up, some individuals still have

challenges with [unintelligible] finding suitable child care just because some child care providers are still only accepting smaller population [unintelligible] so those are the six known [unintelligible] so you all have [unintelligible].

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: [Unintelligible] and a couple of suggestions and I would ask Clay and Ed to make any clarifications. I do want to make sure that we are thoughtful and ready.

I would like staff to provide a briefing before reinstatement of the work search requirement regarding number of job openings in each board area related to the UI claims by industry. I'm seeing some, for example, on the online Dallas [unintelligible] Dallas-Fort area where they had 753 job openings in Dallas County right now [unintelligible] it is apparent that under 081528, which I [unintelligible] providing work search activities. My concerns as [unintelligible] provide these additional work search activities.

Can staff provide more detailed information regarding these work search activities [unintelligible] services? And [unintelligible] reshape the number [unintelligible] how will we be providing this notice in direct compliance [unintelligible] doing it through WIOA and what press release that needs to go out today. If there is a change in the [unintelligible] shall be notified in the change in writing.

And I want to stress by U.S. Mail, this reference here, I do not

believe [unintelligible] the notice requirements set forth [unintelligible] we need to send letters by U.S. Mail in addition to notifying claimants when the file their request.

Also Rule 1818--correction. Also Rule 815.28 [unintelligible] requires coordination with boards to ensure that they set their work search number in an open meeting before the work search requirement goes into effect and I'm sure this will happen before July 19 reimburse--reinstatement [unintelligible] work search.

As far as the risk factors [unintelligible] what you laid out. I have a couple of recommendations. On our website it currently says at high risk, people 65 or older are at real risk pertaining [unintelligible] Covid-19 but I would like to have seen [unintelligible] at high risk people 65 or older, which we have, or are at higher risk for getting very sick from [unintelligible] this comes from the resource of DSHS [unintelligible] lung disease, heart disease, unmanaged diabetes, severe physical obesity and other factors.

On the second one, we have household member at high risk, 65 years or older are at higher risk of getting very sick from Covid-19. Again, I would just [unintelligible] at high risk, people 65 years or older [unintelligible] Covid-19. This again comes from [unintelligible] on the child care, I understand the challenges that we have sometimes finding child care. I want to ask right now as we have child care, daycare

closed, we have what I'd like to see [unintelligible] child school or daycare close and no reasonable alternatives are available. Adding the word reasonable.

Boards in TWC staff should be mindful that under Section Code 7.008 is determining whether work search [unintelligible] should be included in consideration as to whether the employer is following any CDC or OSHA guidelines.

Texas Health and Human Services guidelines as referenced earlier and remediation taken at workplaces when there have been previous infections or death from Covid-19 [unintelligible] was not suitable for requiring them to fill out the claims with their party [unintelligible] and while waiting resolutions and outcomes [unintelligible] Chairman, those are my remarks and a few recommendations on the changes, so that we can [unintelligible].

COMMISSIONER DEMERSON: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Commissioner Alvarez [unintelligible] have a plan establishing—I would say that's just over communicate [unintelligible] and they've never done it before and then make sure that we have the ability to report the work source activity. I know that that's something that happens but [unintelligible] that we're set up as a team to make sure that we can receive those things [unintelligible] the right way. I think this represents an opportunity [unintelligible] Work In Texas website, we really encourage and enforce [unintelligible]

looking for work, we want to make sure that we--that it's out there and available [unintelligible] even more so and having [unintelligible] to do that. And then third and lastly, student [unintelligible] making that clear that they're then promised a job, it makes it clear [unintelligible] you have continued benefits and discussion with employees and employers in regards to the job is very important stressing that we were going [unintelligible] with their employers in regards to that situation [unintelligible] back and forth would be beneficial for both [unintelligible].

MALE: And I just want to make a, Chairman, play reference to the [unintelligible] press release that went out. We would [unintelligible] corrections noted, I wasn't aware [unintelligible] need to take Commission action to add the words reasonable and [unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: You can if you want to [unintelligible] you give us additional authority if you wanted to make it formal, that way we can discuss it [unintelligible] the language that's in the—just a couple things very quickly. The language that's in the website [unintelligible] conversations previously and we'll finally get it corrected, shouldn't reflect that it's 65 and at high risk or individuals that are at high risk, not just 65 and [unintelligible] younger and at high risk. We'll make sure that they reflect that [unintelligible] that's the case right now but I think—

MALE: It's written poorly

[unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Yes, sir. That's the intent now but it is [unintelligible] and then the other is with regard to communications [unintelligible] not only use the social media and other methods of outreach but we're going to communicate directly with the individuals [unintelligible] we're going to be sending out [unintelligible] communicating with them [unintelligible] and then we're going to do it even after we turn it back on the system but before they have to report anything [unintelligible] but the commitment that I made, a conversation that I've had with staff [unintelligible] very minimum, we would communicate two weeks out, a week out, several days out, the day before, the day of, the day after. That communication's going to include method that we have.

And then for new claimants that are talking to us [unintelligible] most people that file initial claims come through online, they'll see [unintelligible] the rule also defines them, as well [unintelligible] but a motion on the six factors clarifying language is fine, that would be great.

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: That helps us with our office when we have claims [unintelligible] one of the other things that I would like to add that I just want to commend you for the hard work that you've put in place and everything in work search [unintelligible] I certainly understand the position

you're in [unintelligible] operations and I commend you for that. You're doing a really good job and I just wanted to make that known.

MALE: Appreciate it.

and I can say [unintelligible] but I could be wrong but I did look them up yesterday just because I knew we were going to have these comments today. Chairman, recommendations so that we have something to [unintelligible] changes, if you're okay with that, you know, that we'd take action on [unintelligible] the six factors because right now, it's just based on [unintelligible] have to use the factors in determining [unintelligible] cases we're going to have rather than it's based on a press release. And I understand the intent, which was great but I'm just--I'm just asking [unintelligible] these are now [unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible].

MR. COLE: Can you all hear me? I don't-yeah, can you hear me now? I apologize for my [unintelligible]
just want to add to it. I mean, just to clarify what I
presented to was, again, these are some guidelines that we're
using but we still, you know, we still rely on state statute in
our rulemaking to provide the, you know, the fundamental
differences to make our decision on a case-by-case basis. So I
just wanted to make sure that was noted.

MALE: Right [unintelligible] make sure to verify [unintelligible] bring it back and forth, whatever, make sure that that's [unintelligible] and so I'm not sure if it does it one way or the other. I think the way you [unintelligible] not asking for any action to be taken but presented it to us and so [unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: And would you like to go over the six [unintelligible] the six factors?

MALE: To get clarification on the new language that I've added?

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Yes, sir. To clarify the six factors and [unintelligible] adhere to both state and federal law that all of our [unintelligible] our staff to be able to use. First off is [unintelligible] age and or is [unintelligible] from a health perspective, more susceptible to be infected by Covid-19 or has an individual in the household who is over 65 and-or is at higher risk because of health conditions.

If the individual has been diagnosed with Covid-19 or is in a household with an individual that has been diagnosed with Covid-19, if the individual is quarantined because of Covid-19, we have several of our employees right now as a matter-of-fact, a portion, they're not looking for jobs but they are quarantined because of exposure, though they haven't been diagnosed. And then the sixth one, because the first

[unintelligible] the sixth one is suitable child care. If suitable child care is not available for the individuals.

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: So my recommendation on that [unintelligible] would be to put the word reasonable.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Reasonable, great.

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: I have a copy here,
Chairman, if you'd like that pretty much explained it exactly to
the point with the addition of the words or, if reasonable for
three of the factors. And again, it's up to the Commission. I
commend—like I said earlier, the work is done [unintelligible]
if you want us to take this further and just have the Commission
approve this.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Well, I don't object.

Here's two options that I would [unintelligible] the first is we take a little recess and [unintelligible] so I can see it, so we can take action on it. That's option A. Option B, honestly, I can't think of any ill effect [unintelligible] I don't object to the motion. And we can push this to the next [unintelligible] I would certainly make that your call.

I hear what we're saying. I actually find myself agreeing with you but since we're going to take a vote, I would really like to see [unintelligible] or we can bring it back next week [unintelligible] again. Because I think the substance of what you're doing is already there and I do agree

with your desire to [unintelligible] I would be happy either way. Those are [unintelligible].

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Thank you, Chairman. So we'll go ahead and proceed on the reason for refusal. We're looking at multiple wording that was referenced on our website and I referenced one that was given to me some time back and then I was just given another one, and so I appreciate the corrections or the additions that [unintelligible] they read the following. People 65 years or older and-or people with medical issues like heart disease, diabetes, cancer or a weakened immune system or at higher risk for getting very sick from Covid-19. Our source is DHSH website. Household member at high risk, 65 years or older at a higher risk of getting very sick from Covid-19. Source DSHS website. Diagnosed with Covid, the individual has tested positive for Covid-19 by a source authorized by the State of Texas and has not recovered. Family member with Covid. Anybody in the household has tested positive for Covid-19 by a source authorized by the State of Texas and is not recovered and 14 days have not yet passed. Quarantine. Individual is currently in 14-day quarantine due to close contact exposure to Covid-19. Child care, which I referenced earlier. Child school or day care closed and no reasonable alternatives are available. That concludes my six [unintelligible] recommendations.

MALE: And that's in form of a motion, so with that, I'll second [unintelligible].

1	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible].
2	COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Chairman, would you
3	like me to make it in the form of a motion or did youthese
4	were just recommendations?
5	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I'll take it
6	[unintelligible] it's in the form of a motion [unintelligible].
7	COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Okay. So with the
8	six that I referenced with one major change or minor change.
9	The second refusal is household member at a high risk. People
10	65 or older areor at a higher risk of getting very sick from
11	Covid-19. Source, DSHS. I motion that the following six
12	refusal reasons [unintelligible].
13	MALE: I second the motion.
14	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] and
15	second, the motion carries.
16	COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Thank you very much,
17	I appreciate it.
18	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Yes, absolutely.
19	COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Thank you
20	[unintelligible].
21	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: And, Commissioner, was
22	that the only item [unintelligible] back to your original
23	discussion before the break. You had presented a couple
24	different things and I just want to make sure we covered all the
25	territory that you wanted to cover.

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Well, the main ones were the factors with the language and then, of course, just being mindful. Other than that, there were no comments and I think Ed had referenced a work search and I wasn't sure if he wanted to [unintelligible].

MALE: I don't think we need a motion on work search. That's certainly an operational issue. While this is still—while this [unintelligible] did want to comment and echo comments made by Commissioner Alvarez and Commissioner Demerson, which is—I know this already to be the case but I feel compelled to weigh in. Which is every available form of communication, every reasonably available form, it should [unintelligible] this is a very trying time for people. This provision is required by federal law, this is not something we just came up with. This is us enforcing the laws that exist today.

I think you found a very reasonable way to enforce the law, something we're compelled to do. And so the more communications we do here, obviously the better off everyone's going to be. You've already stated all your available forms of communication and certainly, I think that will get the job done. We will likely revisit this issue sometime after July 19 just to make sure things are operating as expected. I expect them to be operating the way they're supposed to be.

But I think it is part of our due diligence to ensure that these things operate smoothly, so at some point after the implement—or this shows a full implementation on July 19.

At the next sort of [unintelligible] may not be the very next week, just give us an update on how things are going and if we run into any major issues, I think that would be helpful [unintelligible].

MALE: What I propose is we'll provide an update on July the 6th because we would've gotten feedback, comments--

MALE: Right.

MALE: --by then. That's [unintelligible] system just to confirm it's doing that. And we'll reconfirm all the communication methods that we've used prior to that and then we will also report back after July 19, very shortly after July 19, with the issues that we're having in case we need to retract a little bit or continue to move forward [unintelligible] so we both--just as we're going to [unintelligible] communicate to the public and the claimants, will communicate with the commissioners on how we're doing this [unintelligible] these are trying times and we're settling in, as well and we're going to be adding another [unintelligible] some people are used to, a lot of people are not, that we'll be [unintelligible]
Commissioner Demerson, you had asked about--or you made a

reference to making sure that we have mechanisms for receiving all that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We continue to leave those questions about job search in our system so when individuals come back to request continue payment, continuation of their benefits, those questions are still there, they're just null right now. So they're used to seeing them, that our system already has been reflecting that information [unintelligible] thank you. And that's all I have [unintelligible] Regan Miller on child care item. Regan?

Ms. R. Miller: Good morning, again, Commissioners. For the record, Regan Miller with the Child Care and Early Learning division. This morning for your consideration is a discussion paper regarding when we should consider reinstating child care attendance standards. As you'll recall in mid-March, you approved a state waiver request, which was subsequently approved by the Governor, to waive child absences during the Covid pandemic. This allowed children to remain eligible in the event they accrued absences beyond the standard annual limit of 40. This was especially important early on in the pandemic, as regulated child care was only available to the children of essential workers and because child care providers were limiting parents' access inside their centers, so parents didn't have access to our attendancetracking system.

Since then, child care has opened to all families and child care regulation issued clarified guidance May 26 that parents can access a child care operation in order to swipe their attendance card. As the Texas economy reopens under Governor Abbot's instruction, TWC will be reconsidering policies that were temporarily modified to address the initial Covid crisis.

In considering the timing of when to make these changes, we have considered other TWC programs, Covid policy changes which are being reconsidered as the economy reopens. So we are seeking your directions first on reinstating TWC's child care attendance policies, resetting all children's absence clock and reactivating the maximum number of unexplained absences, which is 40 as set forth in TWC's child care rules.

Second, we're looking at implementing this in alignment with other TWC Covid policy changes. Considering what you just discussed in light of UI claimants and their requirement to search for work, we are looking at aligning this with a date that claimants would be required to report their work search activities. And based on that discussion, these absences would be reinstated Monday, July 20. All families must, again, begin using TWC's automated attendance system. If parents don't resume swiping, then those will count as unexplained absences towards that maximum limit.

notification from child care regulation on Friday that the emergency child care rules initially issued were repealed.

Those did contain some requirements for temperature screening before entering a child care operation. We had referenced that in our discussion paper. Those will not be requirements anymore, although we believe programs may optionally require parents to undergo those checks.

And in light of Covid, we also want to clarify that absences that are due to the child or the child's family being instructed to self-isolate or quarantine based on instructions from a medical professional, the health department or another state or local entity would not count towards the 40 unexplained absence limits.

It's also going to be very important that parents are notified of these changes. We will direct the boards to help disseminate this information and we will take steps to distribute these updates thorough our distribution lists at the state level. And that concludes my remarks, I'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Chairman, I'd like to state that I appreciate Regan's work on her child care.

She's done a tremendous job of overseeing it [unintelligible] I

know that she focuses on the safety of our children, she knows how important that is, so I appreciate that [unintelligible].

COMMISSIONER DENNISON: Regan, I don't want to assume this, but I know that you guys are probably in touch with the Advisory Committee [unintelligible] on child care as we move forward [unintelligible] taking place so that what we're doing is embedded with those individuals. As well, thank you for the work that's being done in this area, that continues to be done.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Are there any motions?

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Chairman, with that,

I move that we reinstate Commission attendance policies for regulated child care to coincide with the reinstatement of the UI work search requirement as discussed by staff. I further move that we reactivate the limit of unexplained absences, reset all unexplained absences, require families to track child attendance with the automated system, not count Covid-related absences as unexplained absences and direct the boards to inform parents and providers of these policies.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER DENNISON: I second the} \\ \mbox{motion.}$

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] second and we're unanimous.

MS. R. MILLER: Thank you, Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: We're still on Agenda

Item 21.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: And then

[unintelligible] has anything to update on our activities on the workforce side to being the restart of the economy. Courtney?

MS. ARBOR: And Commissioners, I do have one very newsworthy update for you today. We've been providing information on the grant activities and just overall activities about what [unintelligible] providers and workforce boards are doing but today, I wanted to talk specifically about the call centers.

You are aware--well, let me back up just a little. You're aware that there is so much good work happening at the local level, specifically to help employers finding workers as the economy reopens. Employers are participating in virtual hiring events hosted by workforce solutions offices and are in contact with staff in those offices to help with job postings, find grants and Covid resources in addition to other workforce needs.

So staff and workforce solutions offices also work with unemployment insurance recipients and job seekers, assisting them with case management in various programs that are operated through workforce solutions and also providing job leads.

Some offices have reopened to the public, as you know and those that have not, continue to provide services remotely. So at the same time that the local activities are going on, TWC staff have been looking at ways to support boards as they support employers and job seekers across Texas.

We see the demand for workers increasing and as the economy reopens, there's just a lot more workforce need than, you know, week to week. So as part of our preparedness, TWC staff have been looking at different options for how to support the state. We are entering into two contracts with up to two call centers and the call centers will provide assistance to job seekers as more and more of them aggressively begin reentering the workforce looking for work, needing help with work in Texas registration and applications and resumes.

So the call centers are being contracted, they will be on-boarded in the next few weeks and they'll help with those things in addition to making referrals to the local workforce solutions offices, providing information about hiring events in their area and any up-scaling opportunities available to them.

I just wanted to let you know that we are well on our way into those contracts with the call centers as

another way to support the workforce boards and employers and job seekers in the state.

MALE: And very quickly to add to that. Both call centers will be Texas based and be staffed by Texas [unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Any comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Chairman, I'd just like to add on to thank Courtney for the updates. Certainly a very valuable to us. I also want to take this opportunity to thank our 28 workforce boards around the state of Texas for their creativity and their commitment to serve everyone, both employers, employees and being creative as they've been. So for those boards that have reached out to my office, I'll tell you I'm impressed with some of the innovative initiatives that they have put forth, like allowing local board areas to have internet connectivity so that a job seeker can be in a parking lot who lacks inability to have broadband service at his home can go to the parking lot and fill out any paperwork that he needs to.

So I appreciate that. I know that very [unintelligible] very happy, the creativity as I reference.

 $\label{thm:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER DENNISON: Yeah, ditto and} \\ \mbox{echo Mr. Alvarez's statements there.}$

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Okay. Anything else on Agenda Item 21?

1	COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: No, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] 22
3	discussions [unintelligible] local workforce development
4	[unintelligible].
5	COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Chairman, excuse me
6	Do we have a motion that we need to make to reinstate commission
7	policies? Is thereis that an action item?
8	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible].
9	COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Sorry.
10	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Agenda Item 22,
11	discussion, consideration for possible action regarding
12	[unintelligible] local law enforcement [unintelligible].
13	MR. WESSNER: Good morning Chairman Daniel,
14	Commissioner Alvarez, Commission Demerson and Mr. Cerna. For
15	the record, Richard Wessner, Workforce And Board Support.
16	Before you for consideration, we have board nominees for
17	Workforce Solutions Brazos Valley, Heart of Texas and northeast
18	Texas. If there are no questions, staff asks that all nominees
19	be approved.
20	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Comments or questions?
21	COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: No comments,
22	Chairman.
23	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Do we have a motion?
24	COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: I move to approve
25	the board nominees for Brazos Valley and the Heart of Texas and

1 reconsider the board nominee for northeast Texas on future 2 docket after additional information is obtained. 3 COMMISSIONER DENNISON: I'll second the 4 motion. 5 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] the 6 motion. Thank you. 7 Thank you, commissioners. MR. WESSNER: 8 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [unintelligible] 9 legislative report. 10 Good morning, Mr. Chairman, 11 [unintelligible] I wanted to let you know that both the U.S. 12 House and [unintelligible] are both in session this week. We 13 will be monitoring [unintelligible] on Thursday at 11:00 a.m. 14 our time. The U.S. House Select subcommittee on coronavirus 15 prices will be holding a remote hearing titled the Unemployment 16 [unintelligible] America's [unintelligible] it looks like 17 there'll be three speakers presenting at the hearing. Michael 18 Evermore, Senior Research and Policy Analyst [unintelligible] 19 William Sprigs, Professor of Economics at [unintelligible] 20 University and Jason Berman, Professor [unintelligible] continue 21 to monitor any congressional action on legislation that will 22 provide further assistance to those effected by Covid-19. That 23 concludes our remarks [unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Ouestions or comments?

24

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] Mr.

Cerna?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CERNA: Very quickly, just to make sure the Commission is aware, we ourselves just at the state [unintelligible] are experiencing a significant spike in our employees who are under quarantine because they were in contact with someone who has been diagnosed with Covid-19 [unintelligible] with Covid-19. Our practice, as soon as we find out, is to conduct our own internal contact tracing and then require the quarantine of any employees that came in contact with the individual whether it's employee or contractor. And then [unintelligible] unfortunately happened here in Austin. Several of our remote facilities and in our call centers as well. We're especially concerned in our call center because of the activity that we're still working on and I want to make sure the Commission is rethinking all the steps necessary [unintelligible] as well as social distancing [unintelligible] in our call center. And our call center, the majority of staff are teleworking but they're not actively [unintelligible] but still, we're looking there but also here. So just as the rest of the state's seeing an uptick, we're seeing an uptick as well. That will be the [unintelligible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Thank you. Do you have any comments or additional questions?

COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Chairman, I've had
an opportunity to visit two call centers. Let me tell you, the
morale is very good, so thank you then for the encouragement
that continue to thank us for all our support. And so thank you
for your commitment to making sure that we have reached out to
work [unintelligible] from people that are calling in at all
times and for your creativity on all this. I just want to take
the opportunity to thank you and so keep up the great work. And
like I said, I can't express enough what you do for our call
center employees, so thank you for that.
COMMISSIONER DENNISON: No comments, just
keep up the good work.
CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible] is there
any other order of business [unintelligible]?
COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: No, sir.
COMMISSIONER DENNISON: No.
CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Do we have a motion to
adjourn?
COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ: Chairman, I move
that we adjourn.
COMMISSIONER DENNISON: Second the motion.
CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Unintelligible]